Rebuttal #4 to Sasol:

We appreciate Sasol's engagement with us in this dialogue, and we hope the company will work with remaining Mossville residents in good faith to achieve their goals for a just and equitable relocation.

Sasol's final reply is a two-sentence statement that directly contradicts the detailed evidence we have provided throughout this exchange.

This dialogue has confirmed several key points. **First, the original sin of Sasol's massive expansion was the company's failure to consult Mossville residents about the project.** Sasol *never* solicited any feedback from the community about whether the expansion should proceed. Sasol does not—and cannot—claim otherwise.

Second, Sasol has failed to explain why it sought to rezone some Mossville properties acquired through the buyout program to "heavy industrial." The company initially claimed—falsely—that "[1] and purchased as part of the [buyout] program remains zoned as mixed residential today." We presented zoning maps proving otherwise. We also reminded Sasol that its spokesperson told local media in 2014 that the company was seeking to rezone some Mossville properties acquired through the buyout program to "heavy industrial." These rezoning efforts contradict Sasol's claim that the company "neither needed nor wanted" the properties and was simply doing residents a favor by buying them out.

Third, <u>evidence</u> strongly indicates that (1) Sasol's buyout program was racially discriminatory; and (2) a substantial proportion of Mossville residents who participated in the program suffered severe hardships from their relocation and felt that they were forcibly displaced. Faced with this evidence, Sasol cherry-picked pro-Sasol statements from three Mossville residents whose alleged views do not represent those of the broader community. Sasol has confirmed that the company failed to consider residents' individual financial circumstances and that residents had no input into the buyout compensation formula, which was developed behind closed doors by a non-transparent, third-party consulting firm.

Finally, every EPA screening tool available (RSEI, NATA, and AirToxScreen) indicates that Sasol's emissions impose significant cancer risk on nearby residents. In fact, even using Sasol's own (retroactively lowered) emissions estimate, EPA found that residents' cancer risk from air pollution is up to *three times higher* than what EPA considers to be the maximum acceptable risk. EPA's findings help explain why so many Mossville residents experienced Sasol's buyout program as forced displacement: because a "choice" to either relocate or live in the backyard of a highly toxic polluting facility is not a real choice.

We thank the Business & Human Rights Resource Centre for facilitating this dialogue, and we applaud BHRRC for its pathbreaking work to promote corporate social responsibility. We hope Sasol leadership shares our view that Sasol still has an opportunity to do right by those who remain in Mossville.